Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized With Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Performance Measures for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association

David N. Alexander, MD, FAHA; Anne W. Alexandrov, PhD, AGACNP-BC, ANVP-BC, CCRN; J. Claude Hemphill III, MD, MAS, FAHA, Chair; Opeolu M. Adeoye, MD, MS, FAHA Sepideh Amin-Hanjani, MD, FAHA; Mary Cushman, MD, MSc, FAHA; Mary G. George, MD, MSPH, FAHA*; Peter D. LeRoux, MD; Stephan A. Mayer, MD;

Adnan I. Qureshi, MD; Jeffrey L. Saver, MD, FAHA; Lee H. Schwamm, MD, FAHA; Kevin N. Sheth, MD, FAHA; David Tirschwell, MD, MSc, FAHA;

on behalf of the AHA/ASA Stroke Performance Oversight Committee

oped by the American Heart Association (AHA)/American of care and to improve outcomes for patients with ICH able performance measures is a priority to improve the delivery tions.2-4 Thus, the translation of these guidelines into actionguidelines for the management of ICH that have been devel-ICH have been published and form the basis of evidence-based Stroke Association (ASA) and other international organizaclinical trials of various medical and surgical interventions for promulgated. However, numerous more recent studies and sequently, metrics specific to ICH care have not been widely of ICH has lagged behind that for ischemic stroke, and condeath and long-term disability. Evidence for optimal treatment all strokes and carries a disproportionately high risk of early parenchyma of the brain. ICH accounts for $\approx 10\%$ to 15% of Lresults from spontaneous nontraumatic bleeding into the Intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is a subtype of stroke that

assessing the degree to which a provider competently and safely delivers the appropriate clinical services to the patient for Healthcare within the optimal time period."5 Performance measures A clinical performance measure is defined by the Agency Research and Quality as "a mechanism for

> actionable and clearly interpretable.9 developed with attention to feasibility and whether they are nal reporting, regulatory oversight by hospital and program quality. In addition to being evidence-based, they need to be ing and reporting them with the goal of improving healthcare est-level guidelines and provide a method for directly measur-Rigorous performance measures often take the strongest highassessed in a quantitative way in order to assess compliance. of describing specifically how their implementation will be However, guidelines traditionally do not take the next step evidence using standardized criteria and levels of evidence.7 cess of care that is derived from a review of existing medical most rigorous guidelines describe a desirable treatment or programs.⁶ Performance measures differ from guidelines in that accreditation groups, and possibly pay-for-performance proare being increasingly used for quality improvement, exter-

of a standardized methodology for performance measure measures for acute ischemic stroke created with the use Stroke."10 This document outlined 15 proposed performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized With Acute Ischemic In 2014, the AHA/ASA published "Clinical Performance

Control and Prevention. *The findings and conclusions in this manuscript are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease

The American Heart Association makes every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

This performance measure report was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on January 16, 2018, and the American Heart Association Executive Committee on February 22, 2018. A copy of the document is available at http://professional.heart.org/statements by using either "Search for Guidelines & Statements" or the "Browse by Topic" area. To purchase additional reprints, call 843-216-2533 or e-mail kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com.

A Data Supplement is available with this article at http://stroke.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/STR.000000000000171/-/DC1. The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: Hemphill JC 3rd, Adeoye OM, Alexander DN, Alexandrov AW, Amin-Hanjani S, Cushman M, George MG, LeRoux PD, Mayer SA, Qureshi AI, Saver JL, Schwamm LH, Sheth KN, Tirschwell D; on behalf of the AHA/ASA Stroke Performance Oversight Committee. Clinical performance measures for adults hospitalized with intracerebral hemorrhage: performance STR.000000000000017 measures for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2018;49:e---e--- doi: 10.1161/

the AHA Office of Science Operations. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit http://professional.heart.org/statements. Select the "Guidelines & Statements" drop-down menu, then click "Publication Development." The expert peer review of AHA-commissioned documents (eg, scientific statements, clinical practice guidelines, systematic reviews) is conducted

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/General/Copyright-Permission-Guidelines_UCM_300404_Article.jsp. A link to the "Copyright Permissions Request Form" appears on the right side of the page. (Stroke, 2018;49:XXX-XXX. DOI: 10.1161/STR.00000000000171.)

^{© 2018} American Heart Association, Inc.

TBD 2018

overseen by the AHA/ASA Stroke Performance cular performance measure sets. 11.12 These ICH performance to promote adherence to guideline-recommended care. writing group of medical professionals from different spe-ASA performance measure approaches. 10 The process was priate to ensure similarity and harmonization across AHA/ measures represent the next AHA/ASA stroke-specific meadevelopment that has been used for other AHA cardiovas-The primary purpose of these ICH Performance Measures is cialties with assistance from the AHA/ASA professional staff. Committee and coordinated by an independent volunteer document substantially, borrowing wording when appro-The present document on ICH follows that ischemic stroke set and were developed with this same methodology. Oversight

Methods

measures by specifying eligible patients through specific inclupotential to improve patient outcome. Specific guideline recommendations selected were then converted into performance AHA/ASA guidelines relevant to ICH for suitability for conas the principal admitting condition. The group first determined jointly by the American College of Cardiology and AHA for pilot testing before widespread adoption. were revised as deemed appropriate. New measures deserve performance measures with those already endorsed. Draft ICH an attempt was made to harmonize these new AHA/ASA ICH Commission (TJC) were also reviewed, and when possible, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) or The Joint National Quality Forum (NQF) or other groups such as the apply to ICH that were already developed or endorsed by the gate data. Previously existing performance measures that might denominator that would allow quantitative reporting of aggresion and exclusion criteria and the measure numerator and data collection, reliability for comparison across hospitals, and of guideline recommendation and evidence base, feasibility of version to performance measures on the basis of the strength performance measures. Group members then reviewed existing the definition of ICH and the care period to be covered by the inpatient care of adults (≥18 years of age) hospitalized with ICH performance measures related to emergency department and care. 11.12 The writing group was tasked by the AHA to develop the development of performance measures for cardiovascular Writing Group was adapted from the methodology developed The process used by the AHA/ASA ICH Performance Measure reviewed by the writing group, and the performance measures the close of the public comment period, these comments were performance measures were released for public comment. After

Structure and Membership of the Writing Group

cardiology, hematology, emergency medicine, public health, neuroendovascular care, physical medicine and rehabilitation, and nursing. AHA staff members provided administrative included vascular neurology, neurosurgery, neurocritical care, performance measure development. Represented specialties in both the guideline-concordant management of ICH and include a diverse set of experienced clinicians with expertise Performance Oversight Committee The writing group was selected by the AHA/ASA Stroke Committee and was designed to

Table 1. **Patients With an ICH Diagnosis** ICD-10-CM Principal Diagnosis Codes for Eligible

162.9 Nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage, unspecified	161.9 Nontraumatic ICH, unspecified	I61.8 Other nontraumatic ICH	I61.6 Nontraumatic ICH, multiple localized	61.5 Nontraumatic ICH, intraventricular	161.4 Nontraumatic ICH in cerebellum	61.3 Nontraumatic ICH in brainstem	161.2 Nontraumatic ICH in hemisphere, unspecified	161.1 Nontraumatic ICH in hemisphere, cortical	161.0 Nontraumatic ICH in hemisphere, subcortical

ICD-10-CM indicates International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification, and ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage.

Adapted from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ICD-10

Assessment and Maintenance Toolkit, 13

e-mail; in-person writing group meetings did not occur. was conducted via multiple confidential conference calls and directly in selecting the specific performance measures. Work assistance and direction for the process but were not involved

Disclosure of Relationships With Industry

donated their time and efforts without monetary or other com-All members of the writing group were volunteers who in writing all financial relationships with industry relevant to pensation. Writing group members were required to disclose this topic according to standard AHA reporting policies.

Definition of ICH

infarct does not apply, nor does intraparenchymal hemorrhage chyma of the brain not caused by trauma. There are multiple another reason (eg, acute myocardial infarction) and develop ments. In addition, these performance measures are intended rhage, which are the subject of other current or future docutype plasminogen activator. These performance measures also that occurs as a result of trauma or of treatment with tissuenial hemorrhage that is caused by an initial arterial or venous of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage."2 Thus, intracraof abuse, and cerebral amyloid angiopathy. These perforthy, underlying vascular anomalies, sympathomimetic drugs different causes for ICH, including hypertension, coagulopa-ICH was defined as spontaneous bleeding into the parenment with these performance measures. Table 1 includes a guidelines, concerns of the writing group about the feasibility patients should generally be treated according to the an ICH during hospitalization are excluded. Although these sion is ICH. Patients who are admitted to the hospital for for patients for whom the principal reason for hospital admisdo not apply to acute ischemic stroke or subarachnoid hemordescribed in the AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management mance measures are meant to apply to the same condition list of International Classification of Diseases, ity led to exclusion of these patients from documented assessof case ascertainment, diagnosis attribution, and data reliabil-10th Revision,

patients with an ICH diagnosis in whom these performance measures are considered applicable. Clinical Modification principal diagnosis codes for eligible

Hemphill et al

Performance Measures for ICH

E

Dimensions of Care

term they apply to hospitals that might transfer a patient with ICH such as long-term blood pressure control. The writing group and administered treatments as part of the medical record.16 Acute Stroke Program,15 and the AHA/ASA ischemic stroke measures (such as those from TJC,13 AHA's Get With The secondary prevention. Most other stroke-related performance it is recognized that there are multiple dimensions of care for of these performance measures. As with acute ischemic stroke, of a patient with ICH was chosen as the setting for assessment after initial assessment or receive that patient in transfer should be considered under these performance measures; thus, that all hospitals involved in the acute care of patients with ICH this first set of ICH performance measures. It was felt important to the acute inpatient setting was a reasonable compromise for in various settings and felt that restricting the dimension of care discussed the feasibility of assessment of performance measures care of patients with ICH that are not sufficiently captured by However, it is recognized that there are elements relevant to the purpose because it represents a well-identified period of care performance measures ¹⁰) have used the inpatient setting for this Guidelines-Stroke,14 the the CDC's Paul Coverdell National ment, rehabilitation, and outpatient care directed at primary and ICH, including the prehospital setting, the emergency depart-The acute hospital inpatient setting for the primary treatment initial stabilization at another acute care hospital. the use of the inpatient setting such as administration of longergenerally has good documentation of patient parameters rehabilitation and interventions focusing on prevention

come at 6 months after ICH or recurrence of ICH in the years (especially those measures that are NQF endorsed) weighed stantial part of the discussion by the writing group. Ultimately, to processes of care in these performance measures was a subthe inpatient setting) or long-term outcomes (eg, functional outopposed to processes of care. 17 Outcomes can be intermediatemeasures to be directly linked to patient-specific outcomes as to put forth and how they should be structured substantially in the decisions on which performance measures with existing performance measures from other organizations consideration of feasibility of measurement and harmonization after the initial event). The ability to use outcomes as opposed term or acute outcomes (eg, development of pneumonia during In addition, it would be most desirable for performance

Literature Review

measures developed for ischemic stroke or ICH that should be considered for inclusion and harmonization. The AHA/ASA reviewed to assess whether there were current performance of existing performance measures or quality metrics were Recovery" were also reviewed. 18 In addition, other documents AHA/ASA "Guidelines for Adult Stroke Rehabilitation and sures was the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management The primary source for review of potential performance mea-Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage." The 2016

> other performance measurement sets currently in use and, so, to consider harmonization across them when appropriate. whether measures analogous to those proposed here existed in Program, and NQF, were reviewed specifically in terms of Medicaid Services Medical Association-convened Physician Consortium Performance Improvement, the Centers for Medicare NQF, AHA's Get With The Guidelines-Stroke, the American the CDC's Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Program, formance measures from other organizations, including TJC, should be considered for inclusion. 10.19 Currently active perreviewed for potential measures that would apply to ICH and Adults Hospitalized With Acute Ischemic "Metrics for Measuring Quality of Care in Comprehensive Centers" and "Clinical Performance Measures for Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Stroke" were ξο

Measures Selection and Development of Performance

forward for development as a potential performance measure sibility for implementation. On the basis of the writing group ity; (3) actionability; (4) precise numerator and denominator would result in improved patient outcomes; (2) interpretabil-These criteria included (1) likelihood that measure adherence ously by the AHA and the American College of Cardiology. 11.12 initial review and were derived from principles set forth previperformance measure development were determined before also added to the list for consideration.19 Standard criteria for of Care in Comprehensive Stroke Centers" manuscript were metrics from the AHA/ASA "Metrics for Measuring Quality develop into from the 2015 AHA/ASA ICH guidelines for suitability to correspondence to review all Class Tand III recommendations 3). The writing group met by teleconference and through e-mail ment into performance measures Supplemental Tables 2 and Levels of Evidence were considered candidates for develop-(high consensus for harm) recommendations according to the sures. 10 Only Class I (high consensus for benefit) and Class III for the 2014 AHA/ASA ischemic stroke performance mea-Stroke Performance Oversight Committee similar to that used performance measures used an approach from the AHA/ASA The process for the selection and development of these ICH was felt not to meet the above criteria, then it was not moved discussion and voting, if a specific guideline recommendation that could be defined; (5) reliability; (6) validity; and (7) fea-AHA/ASA criteria for recommendations and classification of performance measures. Potentially applicable

of reporting, and challenges to implementation. Each writing these teleconferences, existing performance ing group with input designed to improve the measurement held in which each of these drafts was reviewed by the writgroup member participated in the development of at least 2 cific recommendations from which it was derived, method nator, period of assessment, data sources, rationale and spemeasure. These specifications included numerator, denomiset specifications in draft form for each potential performance groups of the writing group developed formal measurement set specifications before voting by the writing group. During draft performance measures. Subsequent teleconferences were From this list of potential performance measures, submeasures

29

other organizations as described previously were reviewed with special attention given to NQF-endorsed measures. Draft appropriate in order to harmonize with existing measures. measurement set specifications were revised when deemed

group for ultimate inclusion in the performance measure set ability, validity, and feasibility for implementation, as well as an performance measure. The ballots allowed measures to be rated on follow-up conference calls for consensus among the writing overall assessment. Ballots were then reviewed and discussed pretable, actionable, design of numerator and denominator, reliseparately on these various dimensions: evidence-based, interon various aspects concerning suitability for submission as a scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) sion with a standardized ballot form that included a Likert Each measure was then voted on for inclusion or exclu-

Review and Endorsement

manuscript describing the ICH performance measures. Peer changes were made before the development of this final encouraged to comment. Numerous comments were received, societies were alerted to the publication of the document and specifications for each of the 9 specific performance measures opportunity to review and comment on the measurement set Performance Oversight Committee until either updated or rescinded by the AHA/ASA Stroke AHA Executive Committee. They should be considered valid AHA Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee and the sions, these ICH performance measures were approved by the selected by the AHA. After peer review and appropriate revireview of this manuscript was then conducted by reviewers measure should be made. When deemed appropriate, these teleconference to determine whether changes to a specific which were reviewed by the writing group via e-mail and in proposed. Relevant healthcare organizations and professional AHA members and other healthcare professionals had an underwent a 30-day public comment period, during which In February 2017, the ICH performance measures document

Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized With ICH

Patient Population and Care Period

admission for another reason. Accordingly, these admission or for inpatient ICH in which stroke occurred after hospital delivered at each respective hospital. The performance meagible for assessment with these performance measures based transferred to another hospital, care at both hospitals is eligency department or hospital intensive care unit or ward) and arrival at an acute care hospital to discharge from acute care. and management of new ICH, from emergency department as defined in Definition of ICH in the Methods section, and The patient population is patients with spontaneous ICH, types are excluded from the measure denominators, as they arteriovenous malformations or cavernous malformations) evaluation or management of vascular anomalies such as sures were not designed for use for elective admissions (eg, on the measures that would be relevant for the extent of care For patients who are initially seen at 1 hospital (in the emercare period is the acute hospitalization for diagnosis

> quarterly reports. measures, to avoid double counting patients when generating length of stay >120 days, as is done in the NQF-endorsed ICH group agreed that it is appropriate to exclude admissions with are for current NQF-endorsed ICH measures. The writing

either method as a valid means of case ascertainment. are shown in Table 1. The choice of method of case ascer-With The Guidelines-Stroke). International Classification the hospital team, or a combination (as allowed by AHA's Get spective or retrospective surveillance of admission logs including available resources, and the writing group endorses chart review may depend on many registry-specific factors. tainment and diagnosis via administrative billing codes versus Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification codes for ICH Classification of Diseases codes (as required by TJC), promay be identified by discharge International á Q

Brief Summary of the Measurement Set

Table A1 provides full specifications for each measure. Two measures are either identical or analogous to the CDC's Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Program measures. Three measures. For example, 2 measures are currently already endorsed by the NQF, and 3 have analogous measures that are emic stroke measures (2 dysphagia measures), and 3 revised either to make them directly relevant to ICH (venous ICH performance measures by other organizations, some that adults hospitalized with ICH. The set consists of 9 measures. ommendations for implementation and field testing. Appendix the current measures, opportunities for improvement, and reccomments on the measures, including the limitations of some of performance measure set. The Discussion provides additional are identical to measures in the AHA/ASA ischemic stroke to a Comprehensive Stroke Center criteria measure but revised Comprehensive Stroke Center criteria, and 1 measure is similar similar to NQF-endorsed measures but were revised for this thromboembolism prophylaxis) or to harmonize with ischare analogous to measures already endorsed by others but This includes several measures that are already endorsed as Table 2 shows the AHA/ASA performance measure set for ICH measure set. 20 Two are currently part of TJC Primary and new

Data Collection

records that facilitate automatic data abstraction, pensed) have highly structured elements in electronic health the reliability of data capture, a prospectively designed report and ultimately the ways that the data can be used. To maximize formance measures influences data quality, cost of assessment, the accuracy of these elements and other crucial clinical data of a swallowing screen) are captured in less structured forother data elements (eg, baseline severity score or performance Some data elements (eg, laboratory results or medications disments can be automatically captured through these systems. systems to electronic health records means that some data eleform should be used. The move of hospitals and healthcare The process whereby data are collected for reporting of perance and to facilitate data abstraction. Capturing and verifying to narrative admission and progress notes to increase complimats or require prospective addition of standardized elements whereas

Table 2. AHA/ASA Performance Measure Set for Hospitalized Patients With ICH

9	ω	7	6	Oi	4	ω	2		No.
Avoid carticosteroids	Assessed for rehabilitation	Long-term blood pressure treatment	Dysphagia screen: management	Dysphagia screen: assessment	Admission unit	Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis	Coagulopathy reversal	Baseline severity score	Performance Measure
	<		0	0		0		<	NQF Endorsed
	_		0	0		0			CDC PCNASR/AHA GWTG-Stroke
	<					0	0	٠,	TJC
	*					0			CMS HIQRP
	٠,		<	<		0			AHA Ischemic Stroke Performance Measure
<		\			<				New Measure

With The Guidelines-Stroke; HIQRP, Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; NQF, National Quality Forum; PCNASR, Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Registry; and TJC, The Joint Commission. Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CMS, Genters for Medicare & Medicald Services; GWTG-Stroke, Get

compliance with these performance measures, we recommend numerators and denominators for reporting of overall hospital collected, the reliability of data abstraction methods used in chart review and abstraction. Regardless of how the data are than a convenience sample. that data should be collected on all consecutive patients rather based performance data). To avoid bias and to ensure accurate or independent abstracter review (in the case of chart reviewreview (in the case of electronically derived performance data) pendent review of a subset of cases consisting of manual chart performance measure assessment should be validated by inde-(eg, a contraindication to a process) may still require manual

Downloaded from http://stroke.

Discussion

unais.org/ by guest on May 24, 2018

that many domains of care important to the patient with ICH through its internal discussions and deliberations, understands nizations, to align with similar measures for ischemic stroke several instances the specific wording and construction of the tion from the 2015 AHA/ASA ICH guidelines, although in sure derives directly from a Level I or Level III recommendaperformance measure development. Each performance meaby the AHA and American College of Cardiology for overall with the use of standardized prespecified criteria delineated for management of spontaneous ICH and were measures are based principally on the AHA/ASA guidelines dations from evidence-based guidelines. These performance performance measure set derived from high-level recommenare not represented specifically by a performance measure potentially benchmark this quality of care. The writing group, and regulatory bodies with a way to directly measure and for patients with ICH by providing hospitals, stroke teams, these performance measures is to improve the quality of care formal measurement for reporting purposes. The purpose of that reasonably apply across all stroke subtypes, and to allow with existing performance measures in use from other orgaperformance measures were revised or enhanced to harmonize The goal of this project has been to develop an ICH-specific developed

> revised and expanded as evidence-based care for ICH expands. ommendations for a specific aspect of treatment. However, initial toolkit for assessing quality of care and that it will be this proposed ICH performance measure set will provide an mance measure linked to all aspects of care. It is hoped that strongly encouraged even in the absence of a specific perforconcordance with current guideline-recommended care because of the lack of AHA/ASA Class I or Class III rec-

specific aspects of each performance measure to local context appropriate ICH performance measures optimized for their sures or as an example by which other countries may assess functional outcome pneumonia rates from dysphagia screening, hematoma expansion with timely coagulopathy reversal, lower measure specification sets. Examples of this could include less outcomes could be used rather than process, and this was the spent a substantial amount of time discussing whether patient process and not patient outcome directly. The writing group nized that all 9 of these proposed performance measures assess divergence is described in more detail later. Finally, it is recogwas made according to writing group specifications, and this measure was not optimal, the relevant performance measure NQF. When the writing group felt that an existing performance cially when these earlier measures had been endorsed by the performance measures with other existing measures, This is why significant effort was made to harmonize these and being able to measure this quality are similar across groups. given that the overall goals of improving ICH quality of care other organizations and regulatory bodies in the United States sures are intended to complement similar existing efforts by and modify if needed.²¹ In addition, these performance meais considered, it is appropriate to consider the relevance of the own system of care. When adoption outside the United States countries as well, either as directly assessed performance meahospitals in the United States. They may be useful in other initial desire for several of the original drafts of performance These performance measures are designed for use within from rehabilitation services. and improved However,

e 6

any of a variety of existing sevenity scores (such as the FUNC general goal of their use being to improve communication and Numerous baseline severity scores for ICH exist, 2^{-24} with the guidelines and was considered as a metric for Comprehensive Stroke Centers in the 2011 AHA/ASA recommendations. found in work by Hemphill et al 22 and Kothari et al. 25 reduce heterogeneity and to improve standardization of care. existing NQF-endorsed measure was a high priority in order to NQF. Thus, the writing group felt that harmonization with the Centers, and the ICH score is the only severity score used in seventy score as part of its metrics for Comprehensive Stroke point of discussion for these performance measures and the chosen by a specific hospital or individual physician) was a National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score, or others as Hemorrhage] score,23 the Glasgow [Functional Outcome in Patients with Primary Intracerebral baseline severity score (such as the ICH score22) or to allow baseline severity stratification. Whether to require a specific The ICH score is the most widely used and validated score for not to attempt to provide a precise numeric prognostic estimate. risk stratification in terms of the patient's clinical condition and patients with acute ICH was new in the 2015 AHA/ASA ICH forward method of calculating ICH hematoma volume can comment period. Components of the ICH score and 1 straight-This approach was largely affirmed by others during the public this context. This TJC performance measure is endorsed by the 2015 ICH guidelines themselves. TJC requires a baseline ICH Coma Scale score, the þ

and do not specify a time frame in which therapy must be administered. The optimal therapy and timing for vitamin K prothrombin complex concentrates or fresh-frozen plasma not provide a Class I that, to meet this performance measure, the administration of existing recommendations and available data best supported gested superiority of prothrombin complex concentrates over guidelines occurred. 25.27 Although a recent clinical trial sug-K-dependent clotting factors. The 2015 ICH guidelines do malized ratio and administration of therapy to replace vitamin patients with acute ICH with an elevated international norommendation for discontinuation of vitamin K antagonists in follows from the 2015 AHA/ASA ICH guidelines Class I recfresh-frozen plasma,28 the writing group felt that the level of antagonist reversal in acute ICH have received notable atten-The performance measure for reversal of coagulopathy in the time since the literature review for the 2015 ICH recommendation as to whether to use

> at this time. However, this is an important issue for future gatran, rivaroxaban, or apixaban. Thus, the writing group felt ing, and it is reasonable for additional data such as type the recommendation in the 2015 ICH guidelines, intravenous 60 minutes, analogous to that for acute ischemic stroke. Per presentation (door-to-needle time) was chosen for this perforwith prothrombin complex concentrates or group felt that the absence of any time frame for administraguideline and performance measure updates that a specific performance measure should not be dation for reversal of newer anticoagulant agents such as dabi-The 2015 ICH guidelines did not include a Class I recommenive of the requirement of an early time frame for treatment. to be recorded. Again, public comment was generally supportplasma) and time to international normalized ratio correction treatment (prothrombin complex is insufficient to meet certain performance measures that perbecause it emphasizes that just transferring a patient with ICH ing hospital (or a transfer-receiving hospital if therapy was measure. The fact that this measure applies to the presentvitamin K must also be administered to meet this performance future revisions will target a shorter door-to-needle time of expected that high compliance will be achieved now and that the initiation of coagulopathy reversal requires piloting, it is lopathy reversal. Although this new door-to-needle time with treatment timing from a recent clinical trial of coagufor the initial head computed tomography in stroke evaluation mance measure because it combines the expected time ing many hours after ictus was not reflective of quality care. not the intended approach and meeting the metric by treatplasma at a time point outside the hyperacute period was tion did not appropriately reflect quality because treatment Stroke Center metric about this topic. However, the writing either was acceptable. TJC has an existing Comprehensive tain to early aspects of care. This measure deserves pilot testnot started at the initial hospital) was considered important Thus, initiation within 90 minutes of emergency department concentrates or fresh-frozen fresh-frozen developed frame 얶

National Acute Stroke Program and is an NQF-endorsed sure for patients with ICH in the CDC's Paul Coverdell devices on day 0 (admission day) or day 1 of hospitalization measures from other groups, use of pneumatic compression sion devices on the day of hospital admission is a Class I rectiming of anticoagulant medications remain without Class I devices to meet the measure. For ICH, the use and optimal allows the use of anticoagulant medications or mechanical the AHA/ASA ischemic stroke performance measures that measure. An analogous performance measure is present in is considered acceptable. For purposes of harmonization with analogous performance ommendation from the 2015 AHA/ASA ICH guidelines and recommendations. However, the use of pneumatic compresis required to successfully meet this performance measure. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis exists as a mea-

Three measures in this ICH performance measure set are identical to those in the AHA/ASA ischemic stroke measure set. They relate to dysphagia screening and rehabilitation services. All 3 of these measures derive from an independent Class I recommendation in the 2015 AHA/ASA ICH guidelines. However, these are also issues that generally apply to all stroke patients,

Downloaded from http://stroke

superseded this. there were no ICH-specific rehabilitation recommendations that a Class I recommendation in the ICH guidelines, and harmospecify the type of rehabilitation services provided.29 Even so. made the most sense. Similarly, the writing group recognized harmonization with the 2 measures from the ischemic stroke set or require that the dysphagia screen is passed. The ICH perforgia screening before oral intake, but it does not specify a time sure for screening within 24 hours of hospital admission and 1 gia screening as a performance measure. 10 In that document, performance measures on these topics for ICH or to consider nization with the ischemic stroke set was prioritized given that measure that pertained to rehabilitation services because this is the writing group felt it was important to have a performance tation is associated with high compliance already and does not that the performance measure requiring assessment for rehabiliing controversies in dysphagia screening and ultimately felt that mance measure writing group extensively discussed the existintake. As of this writing, NQF endorses a measure for dysphameasure that requires passing of a dysphagia screen before oral the decision was made to create 2 dysphagia measures, I meathe challenges and controversies concerning the use of dysphastroke performance measures, there is extensive discussion of should be adopted for ICH. In the 2014 AHA/ASA ischemic whether the existing performance measures on these topics erations on whether to draft entirely independent and unique and this factored significantly into the writing group's delib-

cally, improved outcomes are seen in patients who are manpressure management. It is recognized that for ICH specifipressure management, and corticosteroid use for intracranial pilot testing to assess feasibility and reliability. The new posed as part of this measure set. These new measures deserve ASA ICH guidelines do not provide specifics for how this can verification of expertise in such hospital units. The 2015 AHA/ tial challenge related to the implementation of this measure is emphasizes the importance of stroke systems of care. A potengency setting to another hospital with these capabilities. This have such units are required to transfer patients in the emerin this ICH performance measure set, hospitals that do not Centers have such hospital units. To avoid failing this measure TJC requires that certified Primary and Comprehensive Stroke ing analogous performance measure from other organizations, overall care is optimized. 30-32 Although there is not an existcate that hospital units such as these create a milieu in which impact of any 1 specific targeted intervention and may indior stroke unit. It appears that this effect is in addition to the aged in a specialized hospital neurological intensive care unit measures relate to hospital admission unit, long-term blood Neurological Life Support, 33 would be a potential indicator. ing certification in an educational course such as Emergency be assessed; TJC indicates that specialized training, includ-Three new ICH-specific performance measures are pro-

gency department or intensive care unit. Rather, it focuses on mance measure does not apply to specific targets or agents for acute blood pressure control in patients with ICH in the emercontrol immediately after ICH onset. 1.2 The related new perforfocused recommendation for the initiation of blood pressure 2015 AHA/ASA ICH guidelines contain a new prevention-Hypertension is the most common cause of ICH, and the

> sion as a blood pressure >130/80 mmHg was incorporated as compliance and control. The new AHA definition of hypertenformance measure might target documentation of long-term with the advance of electronic medical records, a future permance measure, pilot testing is warranted, and it is hoped that, cating that they do not have hypertension. As a new perforhospital discharge or have a documented blood pressure india pharmacological antihypertensive treatment at the time of mance measure requires that patients with ICH are prescribed seen in different healthcare systems. Thus, this new perforand the challenge of following up with patients who may be because of the often limited information on outpatient records measure was not considered feasible or actionable at this time after ICH. However, development of this into a performance achieving long-term blood pressure control as an outpatient ing group ideally preferred a measure that directly assessed management for purposes of secondary prevention. The writsetting with the goal of improving long-term blood pressure the target for initiation of treatment.34 the initiation of blood pressure treatment during the inpatient

tion) if corticosteroids are administered. zero use, and the wording of the measurement set reflects this. clarify this. Note that the goal of this performance measure is tial use. Pilot testing during initial implementation may help other members were concerned that there was still substanwas likely to have very high compliance already. However, occurrence and thus a performance measure focusing on this occurs. Some members of the writing group felt this was a rare intracranial pressure or cerebral edema in patients with ICH.2 medical record for an alternative reason (eg. asthma exacerba-A potential challenge is identifying clear documentation in the There is limited information on the extent to which this still Corticosteroids are not recommended for treating elevated

Conclusions

talized with ICH. Six either are existing performance measures Nine performance measures are proposed as part of this initial AHA/ASA clinical performance measure set for adults hospisures but do not currently meet the high evidence standards more issues were considered for performance measures but improve their feasibility, actionability, and reliability. Many during this implementation in case revisions are desirable to that they be implemented now but also that pilot testing occur use. Three new measures are proposed, and it is recommended cesses (n=4). These 6 measures are endorsed for immediate measures through other organizations or related disease prothat are NQF endorsed (n=2) or have analogous performance stroke in general mate goal of improving the care of patients with ICH and measures will lead to revisions and expansions with the ultiexperience with testing and implementation of performance advancement of the evidence base for ICH care and broader in stroke are still at an early stage, and it is hoped that future are many issues that might be desirable in performance mea-Table 1). In addition, the writing group recognizes that there did not meet the criteria for inclusion in this set (Supplemental Quality assessment and performance measure implementation (Class I or III recommendations) required for consideration.

Appendix

Table A1. ICH Performance Measures

1. Baseline Severity Score: Percemevaluation on arrival at the hospital	1. Baseline Severity Score: Percentage of patients with ICH in whom a baseline severity score is measured and a total score is recorded as part of initial evaluation on arrival at the hospital
Numerator	Patients in whom an initial severity score is measured and a total score recorded within 6 h of hospital arrival. If an intracranial procedure is performed within 6 h of arrival, the severity score must be measured before this procedure. The ICH score should be used as the baseline severity score.
Denominator	Included patients:
	All patients with ICH
	Excluded patients:
	<18 y of age
	Patients who arrive at hospital >48 h after last known well time
	Length of stay >120 d
	Clear documentation for comfort care/palliative care measures established before hospital arrival
Period of Assessment	First 6 h after hospital arrival
Sources of Data	Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record
Rationale	

Baseline clinical evaluation is part of the standard care of every patient with ICH. Measurement of a validated standardized severity score is important for prioritizing interventions, such as intensive care unit admission and surgical intervention, is the main determinant of short-term and long-term prognosis, facilitates communication of stroke severity between survivors, and is essential for risk adjustment to monitor provider and hospital care outcomes. The ICH score is selected for use because it is the most commonly used validated baseline severity score and is required by TJC in its analogous measure.

Source for Recommendation

From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

1. A baseline severity score should be performed as part of the initial evaluation of patients with ICH (Class I; Level of Englence B)

Method of Reporting

Per patient population: percentage of patients in whom a severity score was measured and a total score was recorded as part of the initial evaluation on arrival at the hospital Per patient: documentation of whether a severity score was measured and a total score was recorded as part of the initial evaluation on arrival at the hospital

Challenges to Implementation

Training in ICH score calculation may be needed to produce the most reliable results.

opposed to a more general measure such as the GCS (which is a component of the ICH score). Measuring an intracerebral-specific score, such as the ICH score, within 6 h of arrival may be challenging for hospitals without an on-site stroke team, as

When hematoma volume is measured as part of a baseline severity score, a validated measure (such as the ABC/2 calculation method) should be used. This

Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

Identical measure used by TJC (CSTK-03) and endorsed by NQF (No. 2866)

AHA indicates American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CSTK, Comprehensive Stroke; GCS, Glasgow Coma Score; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; NQF, National Quality Forum; and TJC, The Joint Commission.

Appendix. Continued

Coagulopathy Reversal: Percent clotting factors within 90 min of ED Numerator	2. Coagulopathy, Reversal: Percentage of patients with ICH and an INR >1.4 resulting from warfarin treatment who receive therapy to replace vitamin K-dependent clotting factors within 90 min of ED presentation and who also receive intravenous vitamin K* Numerator Patients with an INR >1.4 resulting from warfarin treatment who receive therapy to replace vitamin K-dependent clotting
	factors within 90 min of ED presentation and who also receive intravenous vitamin K*
Denominator	Included patients:
	Patients with ICH with known onset (or last known well) within 12 h of ED presentation
	INR >1.4
	Known or presumed current warfarin use
	Excluded patients:
	<18 y of age
	Documented contraindication to treatment with an anticoagulant reversal agent
	Clear documentation for comfort care/palliative care measures established before hospital arrival
	Length of stay >120 d
	Enrolled in a clinical trial that would affect the use of anticoagulant reversal agents
	Use of nonwarfarin anticoagulants
	Elevated INR not resulting from warfarin (eg, liver disease)
	Hospital transfer from another presenting ED where therapy to replace vitamin K-dependent clotting factors was
	already started
Period of Assessment	Initial 90 min after ED arrival
Sources of Data	Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record, pharmacy records
Rationale	

Coagulopathy, specifically that resulting from the vitamin K antagonist warfarin, is a significant risk factor for hematoma expansion in ICH, and outcome is worsened in these patients. Time to correction of an elevated INR caused by warfarin has been related to amount of hematoma expansion. Prothrombin complex concentrates and fresh-frozen plasma decrease the INR and quickly reverse the anticoagulant effect of warfarin. Vitamin K is needed to ensure that coagulopathy does not return after the effect of initial reversal has passed.

Source for Recommendations

From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

- 1. Patients with ICH whose INR is elevated because of vitamin Kantagonist should have their vitamin Kantagonist withheld, receive therapy to replace vitamin K-dependent factors and correct the INR, and receive intravenous vitamin K (Class); Level of Evidence C).
- 2. Recombinant factor VIIa does not replace all clotting factors, and although the INR may be lowered, clotting may not be restored in vivo; therefore, recombinant factor VIIa is not recommended for vitamin K antagonist reversal in ICH. (Class III) Level of Evidence C).

Method of Reporting

Per patient: documentation of administration of therapy to replace vitamin K-dependent clotting factors within 90 min of arrival to the presenting ED

Per patient population: percentage of patients treated with therapy to replace vitamin K-dependent clotting factors within 90 min of arrival to the presenting ED

Challenges to Implementation

Documentation of time of symptom onset or last known well is not always recorded for ICH.

Initiation of coagulopathy reversal agent does not necessarily guarantee adequate INR correction.

Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

Analogous measure used by TJC (CSTK-04)

AHA indicates American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CSTK, Comprehensive Stroke; ED, emergency department; ICH, intracerebral

nemorrhage; INR, international normalized ratio; and TJC, The Joint Commission.

*Acceptable therapies to meet the 90-minute door-to-needle time metric include prothrombin complex concentrate (preferable) or fresh-frozen plasma (acceptable).

Treatment with vitamin K alone is not acceptable to meet this measure. However, to meet this performance measure, intravenous vitamin K must also be given. A specific time for the vitamin K administration is not delineated. Recombinant factor VIIa is not recommended by the AHA/ASA ICH guidelines and is not acceptable. intravenous vitamin K must also be given. A

TBD 2018

Appendix. Continued

3. Velidus IIII dilibdelilbdiisii Fi	3. VEILUTS THE OUTBOOK IN FORTY JUSTICE CENTE OF PARENTS WHITE CONTROL OF THE CON
Numerator	Patients who received VTE prophylaxis using lower limb pneumatic compression on the day of admission (day 0) or the day after admission (day 1) or who have documentation why no pneumatic compression device was used*
Denominator	Included patients:
	All patients with ICH
	Excluded patients:
	<18 y of age
	Length of stay <2 d
	Length of stay >120 d
	"Comfort measures only" documented on hospital day 0 or 1
	Enrolled in a clinical trial that would affect the use of VTE prophylaxis
Period of Assessment	Hospital day 0 or day 1
Sources of Data	Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record
Rationale	

trials demonstrated that pneumatic compression is superior to the use of graduated compression stockings and that DVT occurrence is reduced, especially in patients with ICH, if pneumatic compression was started as early as the day of hospital admission. Pulmonary embolism from DVT accounts for nearly 10% of deaths after stroke. DVT is common in patients with iCH because of decreased mobility. The CLOTS

Source for Recommendations

From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

- 1. Patients with ICH should have intermittent pneumatic compression for prevention of VTE beginning the day of hospital admission (Class I; Level of Evidence A).
- 2. Graduated compression stockings are not beneficial to reduce DVT or improve outcome (Class III, Level of Evidence A)

Method of Reporting

Per patient population: percentage of patients receiving pneumatic compression on hospital day 0 or 1 Per patient: documentation of whether patient received pneumatic compression on hospital day 0 or 1

> American Meant Association American Stroke Association

Challenges to Implementation

Documentation variability in the description of whether pneumatic compression was used Documentation of contraindication to pneumatic compression



Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

Analogous measures endorsed or used by NQF (No. 0434), TUC (STK-1), AHA Ischemic Stroke Performance Measure 1, AHA GWTG-Stroke, CDC PCNASP, and CMS HIQRP

program; TJC, The Joint Commission; and VTE, venous thromboembolism. Stroke; HIQRP, Hospital Inpatient Quality Reporting Program; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; NQF, National Quality Forum; PCNASP, Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke AHA indicates American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CLOTS, Clots in Legs or Stockings After Stroke; CMS, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; CSTK, Comprehensive Stroke; DVT, deep venous thrombosis; GWTG-Stroke, Get With The Guidelines—

*Acceptable contraindications to the use of pneumatic compression include any local leg condition in which the sleeves may interfere, such as dermatitis, vein ligation (immediately postoperative), gangrene, recent skin graft, severe peripheral arterial disease, existing DVT, or severe congestive heart failure with pulmonary edema.

Appendix. Continued

4. Admission Unit: Percentage cacute care expertise	4. Admission Unit: Percentage of patients with ICH who are admitted to an intensive care unit or dedicated stroke unit with physician and nursing neuroscience acute care expertise
Numerator	Patients admitted to an intensive care unit or dedicated stroke unit with physician and nursing neuroscience acute care expertise
Denominator	Included patients:
	Patients with ICH admitted to an acute care hospital within 24 h of initial symptom identification
	Excluded patients:
	<18 y of age
	Length of stay >120 d
	Clear documentation for comfort care/pailiative care measures established before hospital arrival
Period of Assessment	Day of hospital admission to hospital
Sources of Data	Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record
Rationale	

Patients with ICH are frequently medically and neurologically unstable particularly at the time of initial presentation. Care of patients with ICH in a dedicated neuroscience intensive care unit is associated with a lower mortality rate. Stroke units have demonstrated improved long-term outcome in randomized trials. Presence of a stroke unit is a required component for Primary and Comprehensive Stroke Center certification by TJC.

Source for Recommendation

From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

1. Initial monitoring and management of patients with ICH should take place in an intensive care unit or dedicated stroke unit with physician and nursing neuroscience acute care expertise (Class I; Level of Evidence B).

Method of Reporting

Downloaded from http://stroke

Per patient documentation of whether a patient was admitted to an intensive care unit or dedicated stroke unit with physicial and nursing neuroscience acute care expertise

Per patient population: percentage of patients with ICH admitted to an intensive care unit or dedicated stroke unit with physician and acute care expertise

Challenges to Implementation

Verification of physician and nursing neuroscience care expertise

Measure would require hospitals that do not have such an intensive care unit or dedicated stroke unit to transfer the patient with ICH from the ED to a hospital that has this type of intensive care unit or stroke unit.

Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

purnals org/ by guest on May 24, 2018

For certification, TJC requires Primary Stroke Centers to have a "stroke unit or designated beds for the acute care of stroke patients" and Comprehensive Stroke Centers to have "dedicated neuro-ICU [intensive care unit] beds for complex stroke patients that include staff and licensed independent practitioners with the expertise and experience to provide neuro-critical care 24 hours a day, 7 days a week."

AHA indicates American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; ED, emergency department, ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; and TJC, The Joint

TBD 2018

Appendix. Continued

	5. Dysphagia Screening Within 24 was performed within 24 h of admiss	5. Dysphagia Screening Within 24 h: Percentage of patients ≥18 y of age with a diagnosis of ICH for whom there is documentation that a dysphagia screening was performed within 24 h of admission using a dysphagia screening tool approved by the institution in which the patient is receiving care
in Ex	Numerator	Patients for whom there is documentation that a dysphagia screening was performed within 24 h of admission using a dysphagia screening tool approved by the institution in which the patient is receiving care*
All patients ≥18 y of age with a diagnosis of ICH Excluded patients: <18 y of age Length of stay >120 d Enrolled in a clinical trial related to stroke that would affect dysphagia screening Discharged before 24 h Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.	Denominator	Included patients:
Excluded patients: <18 y of age Length of stay > 120 d Enrolled in a clinical trial related to stroke that would affect dysphagia screening Discharged before 24 h Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.		All patients ≥18 y of age with a diagnosis of ICH
<18 y of age Length of stay > 120 d Enrolled in a clinical trial related to stroke that would affect dysphagia screening Discharged before 24 h Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.		Excluded patients:
Length of stay >120 d Enrolled in a clinical trial related to stroke that would affect dysphagia screening Discharged before 24 h Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.		<18 y of age
Enrolled in a clinical trial related to stroke that would affect dysphagia screening Discharged before 24 h Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.		Length of stay >120 d
Discharged before 24 h Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.		Enrolled in a clinical trial related to stroke that would affect dysphagia screening
Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.		Discharged before 24 h
hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.		Documented reason that dysphagia screening was not indicated. Reasons could include coma, intubation, or that the patient was entirely dependent on enteral feeding (without oral intake of food, liquids, or medications) before
		hospitalization as a result of a chronic medical condition.

Rationale

Sources of Data

Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record

Period of Assessment

Within 24 h of hospital admission

consensus method. Dysphagia is present in up to 67% of patients with acute stroke, and of these, almost 50% have aspiration, a prerequisite for aspiration pneumonia. Up to one third of patients who aspirate develop pneumonia. Pneumonia is a serious complication of stroke and is associated with increased mortality. Several studies Several swallow screening methods have been published in the literature, each with benefits and limitations, without sufficient evidence to recommend a single have demonstrated a reduction in pneumonia after institutional implementation of dysphagia screening protocols but without randomized control groups.

Source for Recommendation

From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

1. A formal screening procedure for dysphagia should be performed in all patients before the initiation of oral intage to the performed in all patients before the initiation of oral intage to the performance of Evidence B).

Method of Reporting

Per patient documentation of whether the patient received a dysphagia screen within 24 h of admission. Per patient population: percentage of patients who received a dysphagia screen within 24 h of admission-

Challenges to Implementation

Documentation of timing of dysphagia screen may be difficult to locate in chart review.

Requires that institutional dysphagia screening protocols be developed and that adherence to these protocols can be abstracted from the chart

Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

This measure is identical to the AHA/ASA Ischemic Stroke Performance Measure 11. Analogous measures endorsed or used by NQF (No. 0243), AHA GWTG-Stroke, CDC PCNASP, and AMA PCPI.

AHA indicates American Heart Association; AMA, American Medical Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; GWTG-Stroke, Get With The Guidelines-Stroke; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; NQF, National Quality Forum; PCNASP, Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke program;

and PCPI, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement.

"Dysphagia screening may consist of a structured bedside swallowing screen administered by nursing staff, bedside swallow evaluation by a speech-language pathologist, videofluoroscopic swallow evaluation, fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing, or other method approved by local institutional protocol.

Appendix. Continued

Patients who remained nil per os during the entire h <18 y of age Length of stay > 120 d Enrolled in a clinical trial related to stroke that would Period of Assessment Once during each hospital stay Sources of Data Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record rev
sment .
sment

Downloaded from http://stroke

third of patients who aspirate develop pneumonia. Pneumonia is a serious complication of stroke and is associated with increased mortality. Several studies have demonstrated a reduction in pneumonia after institutional implementation of dysphagia screening protocols but without randomized control groups. Several swallow screening methods have been published in the literature, each with benefits and limitations, without sufficient evidence to recommend a sin Dysphagia is present in up to 67% of patients with acute stroke, and of these, almost 50% have aspiration, a prerequisite for aspiration pneumonia. Up to one consensus method. without sufficient evidence to recommend a single

Source for Recommendation

From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

Association | Association

1. A formal screening procedure for dysphagia should be performed in all patients before the initiation of oral intake to reduce the risk of pneumonia (Class I; Level of Evidence B).

Method of Reporting

Per patient population: percentage of patients who received oral intake and passed the most recent dysphagia screen before oral intake Per patient: documentation of whether the patient who received oral intake had passed the most recent dysphagia screen before oral intake

Challenges to Implementation

Decumentation of timing of dysphagia screen in relation to oral intake may be difficult to locate in chart review.

Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

the screen results. This measure is identical to the AHA/ASA Ischemic Stroke Performance Measure 12. Analogous measures endorsed or used by NQF (No. 0243), AHA GWTG-Stroke, CDC PCNASP, and AMA PCPI. However, a key difference is that, in contrast to those measures, the AHA/ASA measure requires not only that a dysphagia screen has been administered before oral intake but also that the screen must have been passed, with adoption of an appropriate diet based on

and PCPI, Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement. GWTG-Stroke, Get With The Guidelines-Stroke; iOH, intracerebral hemorrhage; NOF, National Quality Forum; PCNASP, Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke program. AHA indicates American Heart Association; AMA, American Medical Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;

language pathologist or other specialist, or other method approved by local institutional protocol swallow evaluation by a speech-language pathologist, videofluoroscopic swallow evaluation, fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing, consultation with speechshould be based on an institutional protocol and may include some combination of a structured bedside swallowing screen administered by nursing staff, the recommendation was for thickened liquids), then the patient should be excluded from the numerator. The methods for dysphagia assessment and recommendations recommended modifications; if the first oral intake was not consistent with the recommended dietary modification (eg, the patient was provided thin liquids, although in which the most recent screening before first oral intake recommended a modified diet or restrictions, the first oral intake should have been consistent with the oral intake, with or without modifications or restrictions (eg. for consistency of liquids or solid food, or supervision during oral intake), was recommended. In cases **Passed" indicates that an oral dysphagia screening protocol was performed according to institutional protocol and that the results of the screen indicated that

TBD 2018

Appendix. Continued

	Numerator	7. Long-Term Blood Pressure Treat who have a documented BP off media
medications < 130/80 mm Hg at the time of hospital disphares	Patients who are prescribed an oral or transdermal antihypertensive medication or who have a documented BP off	itent Initiation: Percentage of patients with ICH who are prescribed an oral or transdermal anthypertensive medication or ations < 130/80 mm Hg at the time of hospital discharge
	i	La

Denominator Excluded patients: Included patients: medications <130/80 mm Hg at the time of nospital discharge All patients with ICH

Enrolled in a clinical trial that would affect the use of antihypertensive medications or a specific BP target Length of stay >120 d "Comfort measures only" documented

Documentation of reason for no long-term antihypertensive medication prescribed at discharge

Rationale Sources of Data Hypertension is the single most important modifiable risk factor for recurrent stroke among patients who survive ICH. Long-term BP control reduces the risk of recurrent ICH. Randomized clinical trials have found early lowering of BP to be safe after spontaneous ICH. Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record, pharmacy records

Period of Assessment

Hospital discharge

Source for Recommendations

From the 2015 AHAVASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

- 1. BP should be controlled in all patients with ICH (Class I, Level of Evidence A).
- 2. Measures to control BP should begin immediately after ICH onset (Class I, Level of Evidence A).

Method of Reporting

Per patient: documentation of an oral or transdermal antihypertensive medication prescribed at the time of hospital discharge or a documented BP of <130/80 mm Hg at the time of hospital discharge

Per patient population: percentage of patients prescribed an oral or transdermal antihypertensive medication at the time of hospital discharge or a documented BP of <130/80 mm Hg at the time of hospital discharge

Challenges to Implementation

Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

AHA indicates American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; BP, blood pressure; and ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage.

e15

Appendix. Continued

Numerator	Patients who were assessed for, or who received, rehabilitation services during the hospital stay*
Denominator	Included patients:
	All patients with ICH
	Excluded patients:
	<18 y of age
	Length of stay >120 d
	"Comfort measures only" documented
	Enrolled in a clinical trial that would affect the use of rehabilitation services
	Discharged to another acute care hospital
	Left against medical advice
	Died
	Discharged to home or another healthcare facility for hospice care
Period of Assessment	Acute hospital stay
Sources of Data	Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record
Rationale	
toti attan manife in comoro inci	

ICH often results in severe long-term disability. Comprehensive stroke units that include rehabilitation services demonstrate improved outcomes compared with other models of stroke unit care, and most studies of rehabilitation in stroke have included patients with ICH and ischemic stroke.

Source for Recommendations

From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous Intracerebral Hemorrhage"

- 1. It is recommended that all patients with ICH have access to multidisciplinary rehabilitation (Class I; Level of Evidence A).
- From the 2016 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for Adult Stroke Recovery and Rehabilitation"
- It is recommended that early rehabilitation for hospitalized stroke patients be provided in environments with organized linterprofessional stroke care (Class I; Level of Evidence A).
- 3. It is recommended that stroke survivors receive rehabilitation at an intensity commensurate with anticipated benefit and tolerance (Class I; Level of Evidence B).

Method of Reporting

Per patient: documentation of whether the patient was assessed for, or received, rehabilitation services during the hospital stay

Per patient population: percentage of patients who were assessed for or received, rehabilitation services during the hospital stay

Challenges to Implementation

Compliance to the measure is already quite high.

improved outcomes. The association between assessment and initiation of an appropriate rehabilitation plan is unmeasured, leaving uncertainty about the impact of the measure on

Documentation may be challenging to identify if rehabilitation services are delayed on the basis of anticipated institution of care limitations (eg. DNR, hospice, comfort measures only) or acute care hospital transfer.

Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations

Identical measures endorsed or used by NQF (Nos. 0244 and 0441), TJC (STK-10), AHA Ischemic Stroke Performance Measure 9, AHA GWTG-Stroke, and CDC

AHA indicates American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CDC, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CSTK, Comprehensive Stroke; DNR, do not resuscitate; GWTG-Stroke, Get With The Guidelines-Stroke; ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; NOF, National Quality Forum; PCNASP, Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke program; and TJC, The Joint Commission.

*The assessment should be documented in the medical record by a physician, a physical therapist, an occupational therapist, or a speech-language pathologist, as

appropriate. If rehabilitation is not needed, then that should be documented explicitly in the record.

Stroke

TBD 2018

Appendix. Continued

Avoidance of Corticosteroid Us hospitalization	9. Avoidance of Corticosteroid Use for Elevated Intracranial Pressure: Percentage of patients with ICH who do not receive corticosteroids during acute hospitalization
Numerator	Patients who do not receive intravenous or oral corticosteroids
Denominator	Included patients:
	All patients with ICH
	Excluded patients:
MA A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	<18 y of age
	Length of stay >120 d
	Received corticosteroids before arrival at hospital and being assessed
	Participation in a clinical trial in which corticosteroids are part of the investigational regimen
	Documentation of a neurological or other medical condition for which corticosteroids may be indicated, including brain tumor, cerebral venous sinus thrombosis, vasculitis, asthma, COPD, cortisol deficiency, postcranictomy
Period of Assessment	From ED arrival until acute care hospital discharge
Sources of Data	Prospective flowsheet, retrospective medical record review, electronic medical record, pharmacy records
Rationale	
Corticosteroids may be used for t cerebral abscess. A prior random spinal cord injury, and corticoster	Corticosteroids may be used for the treatment of cerebral mass effect and elevated intracranial pressure if vasogenic edema is present from brain tumors or cerebral abscess. A prior randomized clinical trial in ICH found increased complications and no outcome benefit. This has also been found in traumatic brain and spinal cord injury, and corticosteroids are not recommended in these conditions.
Source for Recommendation	
From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guideli	From the 2015 AHA/ASA "Guidelines for the Management of Spontaneous intracerebral Hemorrhage"
1. Corticosteroids should not b	1. Corticosteroids should not be administered for the treatment of elevated intracranial pressure in ICH (Class III; Level of Evidence 8).
Method of Reporting	
Per patient: documentation that c	Per patient: documentation that corticosteroids were given to treat presumed or known elevated intracranial pressure
rer patient population; percentag	e of patients who did not receive condosserolds for presumed or known elevated ເຄື່ອງຂໍ້ເຂົ້າສູ່ເຂົ້າຂໍ້ເຂົ້າຂໍ້
Challenges to implementation	
Determining indication for corticosteroid administration 0% Administration of corticosteroids is the desired result.	steroid administration ids is the desired result.
Analogous Measures Endorsed by Other Organizations	Other Organizations
Noле	

AHA indicates American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ED, emergency department; and ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage.

Hemphill et al

Performance Measures for ICH

eI7

Disclosures

Writing Group Disclosures

Research Grant Support Beyearch Honoraria Expert Honoraria Ownership Honoraria Consultant/Advisory Witness Consultant/Advisory Interest Other Mone None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None None <th>ad on ha</th> <th>to of interest as report</th> <th>normalized conflic</th> <th>- Adamaha</th> <th>אל הה ההיווים הי</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>The state of interest as reported on the</th> <th></th>	ad on ha	to of interest as report	normalized conflic	- Adamaha	אל הה ההיווים הי			The state of interest as reported on the	
Other Research Grant Support Honoraria Witness Interest None None None None None None None None							prevention grant pending, co-investigator)*	Harborview Medical Center	
Other Research Research Research Grant Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board None None None None None None None None	None	40	None	None	None	None	NIH (ICH secondary	University of Washington-	
Account of their Research Research Grant Support Honoraria Support Honoraria Wiffness Interest Board Research Grant Support Honoraria Wiffness Interest Board Rone None None None None None None None N	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Yale University School of Medicine	Kevin N. Sheth
Other Research Bureau/ Support Honoraria Witness Interest Support Honoraria Witness Interest Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board None None None None None None None None		outcome measure development for CMS*						and Massachusetts General Hospital	
Other Speakers Bureau/ Bureau Support Honoraria Witness Interest Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board None None None None None None None None	None	Yale-CORE			None	None	None	Harvard Medical School	Lee H. Schwamm
Other Speakers Bureau/ Support Honoraria Writness Interest Support Honoraria Writness Interest Support Honoraria Writness Interest Board None None None None None None None None	None	1,	39999		None	None	None	Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA	Jeffrey L. Saver
Other Research Bureau/ Support Honoraria Withess Interest Support Honoraria Withess Interest Support Honoraria Withess Interest Board None None None None None None None None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	University of Minnesota	Adnan I. Qureshi
Other Speakers Bureau/ Support Bureau/ Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board None None None None None None None None	None	Pfizer*	None	None	None	None	None	Henry Ford Health System	Stephan A. Mayer
Other Speakers Expert Support Burearu/ Burearu/ Support Honoraria Withess Interest Board None None None None None Sense None None None Diagnostics, LLC† None None None None None None None None	None	Codman (unpaid)*; Depuy*; Integra*	None	None	integra*	None	None	MLHC Brain and Spine Center at Lankenau Medical Center	Peter D. LeRoux
Other Research Bureau/ Research Bureau/ Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board None None None None None Sense None None None None Diagnostics, LLC† None None None None None None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	CDC DHDSP Atlanta	Mary G. George
Other Speakers	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	University of Vermont	· Mary Cushman
Other Research Bureary Speakers Boureary Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board None None None None None None Sense None Diagnostics, LLC† None None None None None None None None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	University of Illinois at Chicago	Sepideh Amin- Hanjani
Other Speakers Expert Ownership Consultant/Advisory Support Honoraria Withess Interest Board None None None None Sense None None None None Diagnostics, LLC† None None None None None None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	University of Tennessee Health Science Center— Memphis, College of Nursing and College of Medicine	Anne W. Alexandrov
Other Speakers Expert Ownership Consultant/Advisory Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board None None None Sense None Diagnostics, LLC†	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	University of California, Los Angeles	David N. Alexander
Other Speakers Expert Ownership Consultant/Advisory Support Honoraria Withess Interest Board None None None None None None	None	None	Sense Diagnostics, LLC†	None	None	None	None	University of Cincinnati	Opeolu M. Adeoye
Other Speakers' Expert Ownership Consultant/Advisory Support Honoraria Witness Interest Board	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	University of California, San Francisco	J. Claude Hemphill III
	Other	Consultant/Advisory Board	Ownership Interest	Expert Witness	Speakers' Bureau/ Honoraria	Other Research Support	Research Grant	Employment	Writing Group Member

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10,000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10,000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

Reviewer Disclosures

Z	79	Э
Magdy H. Selin	Paul A. Nyquist	Reviewei
, ,	Ny	ver
Selin	pist	
_		
	,	-8.8
Betl Med	John	
n Isra Ical (Medi	S Ho	9
h Israel Deacoi lical Center/Ha Medical Schoo	lopkins Si Medicine	Employmen
eaco er/Ha	ine	nent
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center/Harvard Medical School	Johns Hopkins School o Medicine	40.00
Д,	₹.	
		30
NINDS†; AHA	_	leses
	None	Research Gran
		Gran
-	_	Se C
None	None	Other Research Support
		75
		± S
None	None	Speakers Bureau/ Honoraria
	æ	ena ena
None	None	Expert Witness
ੜ	ne	ess
_	_	Ow In
None	None	Ownershii Interest
		st fiè
		6
None	None	Consultan Advisory Board
ne	8	lid sory
		30.50.05
Z.	None	≘
None	me	Other
L	l	

This table represents the relationships of reviewers that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all reviewers are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

†Significant.

[†]Significant.

References

- Qureshi AI, Tuhrim S, Broderick JP, Batjer HH, Hondo H, Hanley DF Spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:1450-1460. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200105103441907
- Ņ Stroke. 2015;46:2032-2060. doi: 10.1161/STR.000000000000000099. Steiner T. Al-Shahi Salman R. Beer R. Christensen H. Cordonnier als from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. taneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare profession-Council on Clinical Cardiology. Guidelines for the management of spon-Cushman M, Fung GL, Goldstein JN, Macdonald RL, Mitchell PH, Scott PA, Selim MH, Woo D; on behalf of the American Heart Association Hemphill JC 3rd, Greenberg SM, Anderson CS, Becker K, Bendok BR Council; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; 300
- ယ AD, Molina C, Schmutzhard E, doi: 10.1111/ijs.12309. spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage. Int J Stroke. 2014;9:840-855 European Stroke Organisation (ESO) guidelines for the management of BM. Toni D. Unterberg A. Wagner M; European Stroke Organisation Csiba L, Forsting M, Harnof S, Klijn CJ, Krieger D, Mendelow D, Molina C, Montaner J, Overgaard K, Petersson J, Roine RO, hmurzhard E, Schwerdtfeger K, Stapf C, Tatlisumak T, Thomas
- 44 Kim JE, Ko SB, Kang HS, Seo DH, Park SQ, Sheen SH, Park HS, Kang SD, Kim JM, Oh CW, Hong KS, Yu KH, Heo JH, Kwon SU, Bae HJ, Lee BC, Yoon BW, Park IS, Rha JH. Clinical practice guidehemorrhage in Korea. J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 2014;56:175-187. doi: lines for the medical and surgical management of primary intracerebral 10.3340/jkns.2014.56.3.175.
- 'n National Quality Measures Clearinghouse. Varieties of measures in NQMC https://www.qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov/help-and-about/summaries/ varieties-of-measures-in-nqmc. Accessed September 12, 2017.
- ò quality to payment. https://www.medicare.gov/hospitalcompare/linking-quality-to-payment.html. Accessed September 12, 2017. Medicare. Gov: The Official U.S. Government Site for Medicare. Linking
- .7 Medicine of the National Academies of Sciences; 2011. Nothacker M. Stokes T, Shaw B, Lindsay P, Sipilä R, Follmann M, Kopp Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Washington, DC: Institute of
- œ Working Group. Reporting standards for guideline-based performance measures. *Implement Sci.* 2016;11:6. doi: 10/1186/s/3012-015-0369-z. Guidelines International Network (G-I-N) Performance Measures
- 9 opment of quality indicators: a systematic review. Implement Scit. 2012;7:21. doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-7-21.
 Smith EE, Saver JL, Alexander DN, Furje KL, Hopkins LN, Katzan Kötter T, Blozik E, Scherer M. Methods for the guideline-based devel-

winals.org/ by guest on May 24, 2018

- 10. 3498. doi: 10.1161/STR.00000000000000045. formance measures for adults hospitalized with acute ischemic stroke: IL, Mackey JS, Miller EL, Schwamm LH, Williams LS, on behalf of the AHA/ASA Stroke Performance Oversight Committee. Clinical per-Heart Association/American Stroke Association. Stroke. 2014;45:3472performance measures for healthcare professionals from the American
- Ξ 옃 ĭ g Spertus JA, Bonow RO, Chan P, Diamond GA, Drozda JP Jr, Kaul S, Krumholz HM. Masoudi FA. Normand S-LT. Peterson ED. Radford ogy of performance measurement: a report of the American College 10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181f7d78c. Cardiology Foundation/American Hea Rumsfeld JS. ACCF/AHA new insights Heart Association Task l ion. 2010;122:2091-2106. into the methodol-Radford Force
- 12 measures for quantifying the quality of cardiovascular care: a report of the ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures. *Circulation*. 2005;111:1703–1712. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000157096.95223.D7. Spertus IA, Eagle KA, Krumholz HM, Mitchell KR, Normand ST. ACC/AHA methodology for the selection and creation of performance
- 13 Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ICD-10 Assessment and index.html. Accessed April 2, 2018. Maintenance Toolkit. https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coding/ICD10/
- 4 Fonarow GC, Reeves MJ, Smith EE, Saver JL, Zhao X, Olson DW and transient ischemic attack admissions in Get With The Guidelinesmance measures, and in-hospital outcomes of the first one million stroke 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES,109.921858. Hernandez AF, Peterson ED, Schwamm LH; on behalf of the GWTG-Investigators. Characteristics, perfor-Outcomes.
- 15. George MG, Tong X, McGruder H. Yoon P, Rosamond W. Winquist A, Hinchey I, Wall HK, Pandey DK; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Paul Coverdell National Acute Stroke Registry surveillance: four states, 2005-2007. MNWR Surveill Summ. 2009;58:1–23.

- 6 Reeves MJ, Parker C, 10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.577171. Development of stroke performance current measures. Fonarow GC, Smith EE, Schwamm Stroke. measures: 2010;41:1573-1578. definitions. meth-
- 17 McNaughton H, McPherson K, Taylor W, Weatherall M. Relationship between process and outcome in stroke care. *Stroke*. 2003;34:713–717. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.0000057580.23952.0D.
- š Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, Bates B, Cherney LR. Cramer SC, Deruyter F, Eng JI, Fisher B, Harvey RL, Lang CE, MacKay-Lyons M. Ottenbacher KJ, Pugh S, Reeves MJ, Richards LG, Stiers W, Zorowitz American Stroke Association [published corrections appear in Stroke, 2017;48:e78 and Stroke, 2017;48:e369]. Stroke, 2016;47:e98-e169. doi: Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; and Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research. Ŗ 10.1161/STR.000000000000000098. for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/ Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: a on behalf of the American Heart Association Stroke guideline Council
- 19. recommendations: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association [published correction appears in *Stroke*. 2011;42:e369]. *Stroke*. 2011;42:849–877. doi: 10.1161/STR.05013e318208eb99. Vascular Disease Working Group; Council on Cardiovascular Surgery and Anesthesia; and Council on Cardiovascular Nursing. Metrics for measuring quality of care in Comprehensive Stroke Centers: detailed follow-up to Brain Attack Coalition Comprehensive Stroke Center Special Writing Group of the Stroke Council; Atherosclerotic Peripheral Leifer D, Bravata DM, Connors JJ 3rd, Hinchey JA, Jauch EC, Johnston SC, Latchaw R, Likosky W, Ogilvy C, Qureshi AL Summers D, Sung GY, Williams LS, Zorowitz R; on behalf of the American Heart Association
- 20.
- 21. National Quality Forum. Endorsement summaries. https://www.qualityforum.org/News_And_Resources/Endorsement_Summaries/Endorsement_Summaries.aspx. Accessed September 12, 2017.
 Salinas J. Sprinkhuizen SM. Accesson T. Bernhardt J. Davie C. George MG. Gething S. Kelly AG. Lindsay P. Liu L. Martins SC. Morgan L. Norrving B. Ribbers GM. Sulver FL. Smith EE. Williams LS. Schwamm LH. An international sundard set of patient-centered outcome measures 010898 after stroke. Stroke. 2016;47:180-186. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.115
- Hemphill JC 3rd, Bonovich DC, Besmertis L, Manley GT. Johnston SC
- The ICH-score: a simple, reliable grading scale for intracerebral hemor-thage, Stroke. 2001;32:891–897. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.32.4.891.
 Rost NS, Smith EE, Chang Y, Snider RW. Chanderraj R, Schwab K, Fizhfautice F, Wendell L, Goldstein JN, Greenberg SM, Rosand J. Prediction of functional outcome in patients with primary intracerebral hemorrhage: the FUNC Score. Stroke. 2008;39:2304–2309. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.512202.
- 24. Sembill JA, Gerner ST. Volbers B, Bobinger T. Lücking H, Kloska SP, Schwab S, Huttner HB, Kuramatsu JB. Severity assessment in maximally treated ICH patients: the max-ICH score, Neurology, 2017;89:423-doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000004174. 43.
- Kothari RU, Brott T, Broderick JP, Barsan WG, Sauerbeck LR, Zuccarello
- 26 M, Khoury J. The ABCs of measuring intracerebral hemorrhage volumes. Stroke. 1996;27:1304–1305. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.27.8.1304.
 26. Kuramatsu JB, Gerner ST, Schellinger PD, Glahn J, Endres M, Sobesky J, Flechsenhar J, Neugebauer H, Jüttler E, Grau A, Palm F, Röther J, Michels P, Hamann GF, Hüwel J, Hagemann G, Barber B, Terborg C, Trostdorf F, Bäzner H, Roth A, Wöhrle J, Keller M, Schwarz M, Reimann G, Volkmann J, Müllges W, Kraft P, Classen J, Hobofun C, Horn M, Milewski A, Reichnann H, Schneider H, Schimmel E, Fink intracerebral hemorrhage. and anticoagulant resumption in Schwab S, Huttner HB. Anticoagulant reversal, blood pressure levels, GR, Dohmen C. Stetefeld H. Witte O. Günther A. Neumann-Haefelin T. Racs AE, Nueckel M. Erbguth F, Kloska SP, Dörfler A, Köhrmann M. JAMA. 2015;313:824-836. doi: 10.1001/jama. with anticoagulation-related
- 27 of C AW, Cook AM, del Zoppo GJ, Kumar MA, Peerschke EI, Stiefel MF, Teitelbaum JS, Warrenberg KE, Zerfoss CL. Guideline for reversal of 10.1007/s12028-015-0222-x. Critical Care Medicine. professionals from the Neurocritical intracranial Neurocrit hemorrhage: Care. Care statement Society and 2016;24:6-46. Society
- 28 Steiner T. Poli S, Griebe M, Hüsing J, Hajda J, Freiberger A, Bendszus M, Bösel J, Christensen H, Dohmen C, Hennerici M, Kollmer J,

frozen plasma versus prothrombin complex concentrate in patients with intracranial haemorrhage related to vitamin K antagonists (INCH): a randomised trial. *Lancet Neurol*. 2016;15:566–573. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(16)00110-1.

- 29. Guidelines-Stroke program. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2013;94:38-45. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2012.06.029.
 Diringer MN, Edwards DF. Admission to a neurologic/neurosurgical Prvu Bettger JA, Kaltenbach L, Reeves MJ, Smith EE, Fonarow GC, Schwamm LH, Peterson ED. Assessing stroke patients for rehabilitation during the acute hospitalization: findings from the Get With The
- 30. intensive care unit is associated with reduced mortality rate after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Crit Care Med.* 2001;29:635–640. Estabrooks CA, Midodzi WK, Cummings GG, Ricker KL, Giovannetti P.
- 31. The impact of hospital nursing characteristics on 30-day mortality. Nurs 2005;54:74-84.
- 32 Terént A, Asplund K, Farahmand B. Henriksson KM, Norrving B, Stegmayr B, Wester PO, Asberg KH, Asberg S; Riks-Stroke Collaboration. Stroke unit care revisited: who benefits the most? A cohort study of 105,043

patients in Riks-Stroke, the Swedish Stroke Register. J Neurol Neurosurg

Hemphill et al

Performance Measures for ICH

eI9

- 33
- Psychiatry. 2009;80:881–887. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2008.169102.

 33. Smith WS, Weingart S, Emergency neurological life support (ENLS): what to do in the first hour of a neurological emergency. Neurocrit Care. 2012;17(suppl 1):S1–S3. doi: 10.1007/s12028-012-9741-x.

 34. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE Jt, Collins KI, Dennison Himmelfarb C, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, MacLaughlin EI, Mumner P, Ovbiagele B, Smith SC Jt, Spencer CC, Stafford RS, Taler SJ, Thomas RJ, Williams KA St, Williamson JD, Wright JT Jr. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NM/APCNA 4 [published online ahead of print November 13, 2017]. Hypertension. doi: 10.1161/HYP.00000000000000055. http://hyper.ahajournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1161/HYP.000000000000000055. Accessed April 13, 2018. blood pressure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high

assessment (health care) KEY WORDS: AHA Scientific Statements ■ cerebral hemorrhage ■ process

American American
Heart Siroke
Association Association.

JOURNAL OF THE AMERIC. Stroke



Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized With Intracerebral Hemorrhage: Performance Measures for Healthcare Professionals From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association

J. Claude Hemphill III, Opeolu M. Adeoye, David N. Alexander, Anne W. Alexandrov, Sepideh Amin-Hanjani, Mary Cushman, Mary G. George, Peter D. LeRoux, Stephan A. Mayer, Adnan I. Qureshi, Jeffrey L. Saver, Lee H. Schwamm, Kevin N. Sheth, David Tirschwell and on behalf of the AHA/ASA Stroke Performance Oversight Committee

Stroke. published online May 21, 2018; Stroke is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Copyright © 2018 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/early/2018/05/18/STR.000000000000171.citation

Data Supplement (unedited) at: http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/suppl/2018/05/18/STR.00000000000000171.DC1

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Stroke* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

http://www.lww.com/reprints Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:

http://stroke.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/ Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to Stroke is online at:

Hemphill et al Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized With Intracerebral Hemorrhage Data Supplement

Intracerebral Hemorrhage Supplemental Table 1 for Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized with

Not Selected for Measure Development Intracerebral Hemorrhage Class I or Class III Intracerebral Hemorrhage Guideline Recommendations Considered by the Committee but

Precise denominator, improvement in outcomes	11. Prophylactic antiseizure medication is not recommended.
Alternative measure regarding venous thromboembolism already in measure set	10. Graduated compression stockings are not beneficial to reduce DVT or improve outcome.
Probable current high adherence	9. Although rFVIIa can limit the extent of hematoma expansion in noncoagulopathic ICH patients, there is an increase in thromboembolic risk with rFVIIa and no clear clinical benefit in unselected patients. Thus, rFVIIa is not recommended.
Improvement in outcomes, low evidence level	
Actionability, reliability	
Actionability, reliability	 Patients with a change in mental status who are found to have electrographic seizures on EEG should be treated with antiseizure drugs.
Probable current high adherence, reliability	5. Clinical seizures should be treated with antiseizure drugs.
Feasibility, actionability	 Glucose should be monitored. Both hyperglycemia and hypoglycemia should be avoided.
Improvement in outcomes	3. For ICH patients presenting with SBP between 150 and 220 mm Hg and without contraindication to acute BP treatment, acute lowering of SBP to 140 mm Hg is safe.
Actionability	
Current high adherence	 Rapid neuroimaging with CT or MRI is recommended to distinguish ischemic stroke from ICH.
Criteria on Which Guideline Scored Poorly for Performance Measurement	Intracerebral Hemorrhage Class I or Class III Recommendations Not Recommended for Translation into Performance Measures

Hemphill et al Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized With Intracerebral Hemorrhage Data Supplement

12. DNAR status should not limit appropriate medical and surgical interventions unless otherwise explicitly indicated.

Interpretability, reliability

Supplemental Table 2 for Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized with Intracerebral Hemorrhage

Applying Classification of Recommendations and Levels of Evidence

SIZE OF TREATMENT EFFECT

ESTIMATE OF CERTAINTY (PRECISION) OF TREATMENT EFFECT					
Suggested phrases for writing recommendations ecommendations	LEYEL C Very limited populations evaluated: Only consensus opidion of experts, case studies, or standard of care	LEVEL B Limited populations ervaluated* Data derived from a single randomized trial or nonrandomized studies	LEVEL A Multiple populations evaluated* Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses		
should is recommended is indicated is indicated is usefuleHeckive/beneficial treatment/strategy A is recommended/indicated in preference to treatment B treatment A should be chosen over treatment B	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is uxeful/cliective Worly expert opinion, case studies, or shandard of care	Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/effective w. Evidence from single randomized trial or monrandomized studies	w Recommendation that procedure or treatment is useful/affective a Sufficient evidence from multiple transmitted trials or meta-analysis	CLASS I Benefit >>> Rick Procedure/Trachment SHOULD be performed/ administered	
is reasonable can be useful/effective/beneficial is probably recommended or indicated reament/shategy A is probably recommended/indicated in preference to treatment 8 it is reasonable to choose treatment A over treatment 8	Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care	■ Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure being useful/effective ■ Some conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or populational monandomized studies	■ Recommendation in favor of treatment or procedure heing useful/effective ■ Some conflicting evidence from multiple randomized traits or meta-analyses	CLASS IIa Benefit >> Risk Additional studies with focused objectives needed IT IS REASONABLE to per- form procedure/administer freatment	
may/might be considered may/might be reasonable usefulness/effectiveness is unknown/unclear/uncertain or not well established	Recommendation's usefulness/efficacy less well established Only diverging expert opinion, case studies, or standard of care	Recommendation's positivess/efficacy less well established Greater conflicting evidence from single randomized trial or nonzaridemized shidles	Recommendation's usefulness / efficacy less well established Greater conflicting evidence from multiple randomized trais or mitte-analyses:	CLASS Nb Besefil > Rick Additional studies with broad objectives needed; additional registry data would be helpful Procedure/I teatment MAY BE CONSIDERED	
No Benefit Is not recommended is not indicated should not be performed/administered/administered/beneficial/effective	Picconstructed that that procedure or treatment is not worked offselves and may be harmful as apart opinion case studies, or chandral or case studies, or chandral or care.	at the commendation that procedure or treatment is not south effective and may be harmful at Endowned from Single randowned that or randowned that or	a Recommendation that procedure or treatment is not useful effective and may be trained a Sufficient evidence from multiple randomised train controls-employee.	CLASS III no Beneri on CLASS III no Beneri on CLASS III no Beneri on CLASS III no Beneri on Beneric Care of Beneric Care of Beneric Care of Beneric Care	
con III: Harm potentially harmful causes harm associated with excess morbid- hy/mortally should not be performed/ administered/ other	ion that theren's re and may fillion, case and of case	the that the cased party single property to the cased party property property to the cased party property proper	tion that native of its we want tray were from real trains or	ardi The services	

Hemphill et al Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized With Intracerebral Hemorrhage Data Supplement

Intracerebral Hemorrhage Supplemental Table 3 for Clinical Performance Measures for Adults Hospitalized with

Definition of Classes and Levels of Evidence Used in 2015 AHA/ASA Intracerebral Hemorrhage Guidelines Recommendations

Class I procedure or treatment is useful and effective Conditions for which there is evidence for and/or general agreement that the

Class II about the usefulness/efficacy of a procedure or treatment Conditions for which there is conflicting evidence and/or a divergence of opinion

Class IIa procedure or treatment The weight of evidence or opinion is in favor of the

Class IIb opinion Usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence or

Class III procedure or treatment is not useful/effective and in some cases may be harmful Conditions for which there is evidence and/ or general agreement that the

Therapeutic recommendations

Level of Evidence A Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-

analyses

Level of Evidence B Data derived from a single randomized trial or nonrandomized

studies

Level of Evidence C Consensus opinion of experts, case studies, or standard of care

Diagnostic recommendations

Level of Evidence A Data derived from multiple prospective cohort studies using a

reference standard applied by a masked evaluator

Level of Evidence B control studies, or studies using a reference standard applied by an Data derived from a single grade A study or one or more case-

unmasked evaluator

Level of Evidence C Consensus opinion of experts